things, that in her efforts to locate desirable housing, relator was discriminated against because of her race, color, and ancestry, which discrimination has been made unlawful by the amended Ordinance.

- 9. Relator states that she made a written request of respondents to perform their duties as set forth in the amended Ordinance.
- o 10. The Commissioners, by unanimous vote on January 30, 1965 declined to process or handle relator's complaint.
- 11. On February 1, 1965 relator made a demand upon the City Director of Law to bring an action in mandamus, to compel the Commission and Mayor to enforce the amended Ordinance. In his reply dated February 1, 1965, the City Director of Law refused to bring the requested action.
- 12. Relator believes and therefore avers that the Commission has failed to fully organize itself, does not have a permanent chairman or other person authorized to call a meeting and has not adopted such rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the purposes and provisions of this Ordinance, all of which failures are contrary to law.
- 13. Relator believes and therefore avers that the Mayor, as Chief Executive of the City of Akron, Ohio, and the municipal officer in whose office the Commission was established by Section 2 of the amended Ordinance, has the obligation of calling a meeting of the Commissioners for the purpose of completing their organization and doing all other things necessary to process relator's complaint.
- 14. Relator states that on the date the Mayor and Commissioners were served with a copy of relator's complaint,